# CITY OF PLYMOUTH HENNEPIN COUNTY, MINNESOTA

Special Purpose Audit Reports on

Single Audit, Internal Controls, and Compliance With Laws and Regulations

> Year Ended December 31, 2012

# CITY OF PLYMOUTH HENNEPIN COUNTY, MINNESOTA

## **Table of Contents**

	Page
Independent Auditor's Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	
Required by OMB Circular A-133	1–2
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	3
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements	
Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards	4–5
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program	
and Report on Internal Control Over Compliance Required by OMB Circular A-133	6–7
Independent Auditor's Report on Minnesota Legal Compliance	8
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	9–10

### PRINCIPALS



Thomas M. Montague, CPA
Thomas A. Karnowski, CPA
Paul A. Radosevich, CPA
William J. Lauer, CPA
James H. Eichten, CPA
Aaron J. Nielsen, CPA
Victoria L. Holinka, CPA

# INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY OMB CIRCULAR A-133

To the City Council and Management City of Plymouth, Minnesota

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Plymouth, Minnesota (the City) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2012, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated June 14, 2013, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the City's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Nonprofit Organizations*, and is not a required part of the basic financial statements of the City. The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

(continued)

The purpose of this report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133 is solely to describe the scope of our testing of the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards and the results of that testing based on our audit. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Malloy, Montague, Karnowski, Radosevich, Z. Co., S.A. Minneapolis, Minnesota

June 14, 2013

### CITY OF PLYMOUTH

### Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Year Ended December 31, 2012

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA No.	Federal Ex	penditures
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			
Direct program			
Housing Choice Voucher cluster			
Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers	14.871	\$1,769,624	
Mainstream Vouchers	14.879	121,287	
Subtotal for Housing Choice Voucher cluster			1,890,911
Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants	14.218		300,377
Passed through Hennepin County			
Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	14.905		2,272
U.S. Department of Justice			
Direct program			
Bulletproof Vest Partnership Program	16.607		1,511
Passed through Hennepin County			
ARRA – Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG)	16.804		23,592
Passed through the Invitation Health Institute			
Enforcing Underage Drinking Laws Program	16.727		767
U.S. Department of Transportation			
Highway Safety cluster			
Passed through the City of Minnetonka			
State and Community Highway Safety	20.600	5,154	
Passed through Minnesota Department of Public Safety	20.600	1	
State and Community Highway Safety	20.600	15,787	
Occupant Protection Incentive Grants	20.602	1,748	
Safety Belt Performance Grants	20.609	17,735	40 424
Subtotal Highway Safety cluster			40,424
Passed through the Minnesota Department of Public Safety			
Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated	20.608	39,896	
Passed through the City of Minnetonka			
Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated	20.608	8,561	
Total Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated			48,457
U.S. Department of Homeland Security			
Passed through Minnesota Commissioner of Public Safety			
Division of Homeland Security and Emergency Management			
Homeland Security Grant Program	97.067	-	52,678
Total federal awards		_	\$ 2,360,989
		•	

Note 1: This Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented on the accrual basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Nonprofit Organizations.* Therefore, some of the amounts presented in this schedule may differ from the amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the City's basic financial statements.

Note 2: All pass-through entities listed above use the same CFDA numbers as the federal grantors to identify these grants, and have not assigned any additional identifying numbers.

Note 3: The City provided federal awards to subrecipients as follows:

Program Title	Federal CFDA No.	Amount Provided	
State and Community Highway Safety Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated Safety Belt Performance Grants	20.600 20.608 20.609	\$ 11,436 \$ 26,274 \$ 11,602	

### PRINCIPALS



Thomas M. Montague, CPA Thomas A. Karnowski, CPA Paul A. Radosevich, CPA William J. Lauer, CPA James H. Eichten, CPA Aaron J. Nielsen, CPA Victoria L. Holinka, CPA

## INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the City Council and Management City of Plymouth, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Plymouth, Minnesota (the City) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2012, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated June 14, 2013.

### INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

(continued)

### **COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

In addition, we noted certain other matters that we have reported to management of the City in a separate letter dated June 14, 2013.

### **PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Molloy, Montague, Karnowski, Radosevich, & Co., P.A.

Minneapolis, Minnesota

June 14, 2013

### **PRINCIPALS**

Thomas M. Montague, CPA
Thomas A. Karnowski, CPA
Paul A. Radosevich, CPA
William J. Lauer, CPA
James H. Eichten, CPA
Aaron J. Nielsen, CPA
Victoria L. Holinka, CPA



# INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM AND REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY OMB CIRCULAR A-133

To the City Council and Management City of Plymouth, Minnesota

### REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM

We have audited the City of Plymouth, Minnesota's (the City) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City's major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2012. The City's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

### MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSIBILITY

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its federal programs.

### **AUDITOR'S RESPONSIBILITY**

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the City's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Nonprofit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance.

(continued)

### **OPINION ON EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM**

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to on the previous page that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2012.

### REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to on the previous page. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Mallay, Montague, Karnavaki, Radosevich, & Co., P.A. Minneapolis, Minnesota

June 14, 2013





Thomas M. Montague, CPA
Thomas A. Karnowski, CPA
Paul A. Radosevich, CPA
William J. Lauer, CPA
James H. Eichten, CPA
Aaron J. Nielsen, CPA
Victoria L. Holinka, CPA

# INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON MINNESOTA LEGAL COMPLIANCE

To the City Council and Management City of Plymouth, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Plymouth, Minnesota (the City) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2012, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated June 14, 2013.

The Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Political Subdivisions, promulgated by the Office of the State Auditor pursuant to Minnesota Statute § 6.65, contains seven categories of compliance to be tested: contracting and bidding, deposits and investments, conflicts of interest, public indebtedness, claims and disbursements, miscellaneous provisions, and tax increment financing. Our audit considered all of the listed categories.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the City failed to comply with the provisions of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Political Subdivisions*. However, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such noncompliance. Accordingly, had we performed additional procedures, other matters may have come to our attention regarding the City's noncompliance with the above-referenced provisions.

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on compliance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Mallay, Montague, Larnowski, Radoseirch, & Co., P. A.

Minneapolis, Minnesota June 14, 2013

### CITY OF PLYMOUTH

## Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended December 31, 2012

## A. SUMMARY OF AUDIT RESULTS

This summary is formatted to provide federal granting agencies and pass-through agencies answers to specific questions regarding the audit of federal awards.

Financial Statements		
What type of auditor's report is issued?		X Unqualified Qualified Adverse Disclaimer
Internal control over financial reporting:		
Material weakness(es) identified?	Yes	X No
Significant deficiencies identified?	Yes	X No
Noncompliance material to the financial statements noted?	Yes	X None reported
Federal Awards		
Internal controls over major federal award programs:		
Material weakness(es) identified?	Yes	X No
Significant deficiencies identified?	Yes	X No
Major federal award program compliance:		
What type of auditor's report is issued?		X Unqualified Qualified Adverse Disclaimer
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 510(a) of OMB Circular A-133?	Yes	<b>X</b> No
Programs tested as major programs:		
Program or Cluster	CFDA No.	
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Housing Choice Voucher cluster Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers Mainstream Vouchers	14.871 14.879	
Threshold for distinguishing between type A and B programs:	\$ 300,000	
Does the auditee qualify as a low-risk auditee?	Yes	X No

### CITY OF PLYMOUTH

### Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (continued) Year Ended December 31, 2012

	None.	
C.	FINDINGS AUDIT	AND QUESTIONED COSTS – MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS
	None.	

B. FINDINGS - INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

D. FINDINGS - MINNESOTA LEGAL COMPLIANCE AUDIT

None.

E. SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS – MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS AUDIT

None.