CITY OF PLYMOUTH HENNEPIN COUNTY, MINNESOTA

Special Purpose Audit Reports on

Single Audit, Internal Controls, and Compliance with Laws and Regulations

> Year Ended December 31, 2021



CITY OF PLYMOUTH HENNEPIN COUNTY, MINNESOTA

Special Purpose Audit Reports Year Ended December 31, 2021

Table of Contents

	Page
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	1
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and	
on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements	
Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards	2–3
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program;	
Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on the Schedule of	
Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance	4–6
Independent Auditor's Report on Minnesota Legal Compliance	7
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	8–9



CITY OF PLYMOUTH

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Year Ended December 31, 2021

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal ALN	Federal Ex	xpenditures
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			
Direct program			
Housing voucher cluster			
Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers	14.871	\$ 2,080,325	
COVID-19 – Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers	14.871	125,471	
Subtotal ALN 14.871		2,205,796	
Mainstream Vouchers	14.879	123,112	
COVID-19 – Mainstream Vouchers	14.879	6,002	
Subtotal ALN 14.879		129,114	
Total for housing voucher cluster			\$ 2,334,910
Community Development Block Grants – Entitlement Grants Cluster			
Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants	14.218	463,844	
COVID-19 - Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants	14.218	202,286	
Total for Community Development Block Grants – Entitlement Grants Cluster			666,130
U.S. Department of Justice			
Direct program			
Bulletproof Vest Partnership Program	16.607		7,658
Equitable Sharing Program	16.922		22,930
U.S. Department of Transportation			
Passed through the Metropolitan Council			
COVID-19 – Federal Transit Formula Grants	20.507		975,735
Passed through the Minnesota Department of Public Safety (1) and			
the City of Maple Grove (2)			
Highway safety cluster			
National Priority Safety Programs (1)	20.616	21,359	
National Priority Safety Programs (2)	20.616	2,742	
Subtotal ALN 20.616	20.500	24,101	
State and Community Highway Safety (2) Subtotal for highway safety cluster	20.600	11,384	35,485
Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated (1)	20.608	64,078	
Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated (2)	20.608	15,031	
Subtotal ALN 20.608	20.000	13,031	79,109
Total federal awards			\$ 4,121,957
Total reservation			Ψ ¬,121,731

- Note 1: The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is prepared on the accrual basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the OMB's *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards*, when applicable. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from the amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the City's basic financial statements.
- Note 2: All pass-through entities listed above use the same federal Assistance Listing Numbers (ALN) as the federal grantors to identify these grants, and have not assigned any additional identifying numbers.
- Note 3: As part of its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program, the City elects to administer portable vouchers totaling \$916,064 and related administration fees totaling \$60,054, as the receiving public housing authority (PHA) for various other initial PHAs throughout the United States. The City does not consider these amounts received from the initial PHAs to be subrecipient payments, and therefore, are excluded from the Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers cluster above.
- Note 4: The City did not elect to use the 10 percent de minimis indirect cost rate.



PRINCIPALS



Thomas A. Karnowski, CPA
Paul A. Radosevich, CPA
William J. Lauer, CPA
James H. Eichten, CPA
Aaron J. Nielsen, CPA
Victoria L. Holinka, CPA/CMA
Jaclyn M. Huegel, CPA
Kalen T. Karnowski, CPA

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL

OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS

BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN

ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the City Council and Management City of Plymouth, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Plymouth, Minnesota (the City) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2021, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated July 20, 2022.

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

(continued)

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Malloy, Montague, Karnowski, Radosenich & Co., P. A. Minneapolis, Minnesota

July 20, 2022

PRINCIPALS



Thomas A. Karnowski, CPA
Paul A. Radosevich, CPA
William J. Lauer, CPA
James H. Eichten, CPA
Aaron J. Nielsen, CPA
Victoria L. Holinka, CPA/CMA
Jaclyn M. Huegel, CPA
Kalen T. Karnowski, CPA

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM; REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

To the City Council and Management City of Plymouth, Minnesota

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM

OPINION ON EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM

We have audited the City of Plymouth, Minnesota's (the City) compliance with the types of compliance requirements identified as subject to audit in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget *Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City's major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2021. The City's major federal programs are identified in the Summary of Audit Results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major programs for the year ended December 31, 2021.

BASIS FOR OPINION ON EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS); the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (*Government Auditing Standards*); and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Our responsibilities under those standards and the Uniform Guidance are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section of our report.

We are required to be independent of the City and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance requirements referred to above.

(continued)

RESPONSIBILITIES OF MANAGEMENT FOR COMPLIANCE

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to on the previous page and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules, and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to the City's federal programs.

AUDITOR'S RESPONSIBILITIES FOR THE AUDIT OF COMPLIANCE

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance objectives referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not absolute assurance and is therefore, not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance from fraud is higher than for that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about the City's compliance with the requirements of each major federal program as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS, Government Auditing Standards, and the Uniform Guidance, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the City's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we consider necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of the City's internal control over compliance relevant to the audit in
 order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and report on
 internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the
 purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over
 compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section on the previous page and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance may exist that were not identified.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

REPORT ON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City as of and for the year ended December 31, 2021, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise City's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated July 20, 2022, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures oFederal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a

Malloy, Montague, Karnowski, Radasenich & Co., P. A.

Minneapolis, Minnesota

July 20, 2022



PRINCIPALS



Thomas A. Karnowski, CPA
Paul A. Radosevich, CPA
William J. Lauer, CPA
James H. Eichten, CPA
Aaron J. Nielsen, CPA
Victoria L. Holinka, CPA/CMA
Jaclyn M. Huegel, CPA
Kalen T. Karnowski, CPA

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON MINNESOTA LEGAL COMPLIANCE

To the City Council and Management City of Plymouth, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Plymouth, Minnesota (the City) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2021, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated July 20, 2022.

MINNESOTA LEGAL COMPLIANCE

In connection with our audit, we noted that the City failed to comply with provisions of the claims and disbursements section of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for the Cities*, promulgated by the State Auditor pursuant to Minnesota Statutes § 6.65, insofar as they relate to accounting matters as described in the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as finding 2021-001. Also, in connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the City failed to comply with the provisions of the contracting – bid laws, depositories of public funds and public investments, conflicts of interest, public indebtedness, miscellaneous provisions, and tax increment financing sections of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Cities*, insofar as they relate to accounting matters. However, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such noncompliance. Accordingly, had we performed additional procedures, other matters may have come to our attention regarding the City's noncompliance with the above referenced provisions, insofar as they relate to accounting matters.

CITY'S RESPONSE TO FINDING

Government Auditing Standards requires the auditor to perform limited procedures on the City's response to the legal compliance finding identified in our audit and described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The City's response was not subjected to the other auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on compliance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Malloy, Montague, Karnowski, Radosewich & Co., P. A. Minneapolis, Minnesota

July 20, 2022

CITY OF PLYMOUTH

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended December 31, 2021

A. SUMMARY OF AUDIT RESULTS

This summary is formatted to provide federal granting agencies and pass-through agencies answers to specific questions regarding the audit of federal awards.

Financial Statements		
What type of auditor's report is issued?		X Unmodified Qualified Adverse Disclaimer
Internal control over financial reporting:		
Material weakness(es) identified?	Yes	
Significant deficiency(ies) identified?	Yes	X None reported
Noncompliance material to the financial statements noted?	Yes	X No
Federal Awards		
Internal controls over major federal award programs:		
Material weakness(es) identified?	Yes	X No
Significant deficiency(ies) identified?	Yes	X None reported
Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs?		X Unmodified Qualified Adverse Disclaimer
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516 (a)?	Yes	X No
Programs tested as major programs:		
Program or Cluster	Federal ALN	_
 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Housing voucher cluster Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers COVID-19 – Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers Mainstream Vouchers COVID-19 – Mainstream Vouchers 	14.871 14.871 14.879 14.879	
U.S. Department of Transportation COVID-19 – Federal Transit Formula Grants	20.507	
Threshold for distinguishing between type A and B programs	\$ 750,000	_
Does the auditee qualify as a low-risk auditee?	XYes	No

CITY OF PLYMOUTH

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (continued) Year Ended December 31, 2021

B. FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

None.

C. FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

None.

D. MINNESOTA LEGAL COMPLIANCE FINDINGS

2021-001 CLAIMS AND DISBURSEMENTS

Criteria – Minnesota Statutes § 471.425, Subd. 2.

Condition – Minnesota Statutes require the City of Plymouth, Minnesota (the City) to pay each vendor obligation according to the terms of each contract or within a standard payment period of 35 days from the receipt of goods and services or the invoice for the goods or services. If such obligations are not paid within the appropriate time period, the City must pay interest on the unpaid obligations at the rate of 1.5 percent per month or part of a month. For 2 of 25 disbursements selected for testing, the City did not pay the obligation within the required time period and did not pay interest on the unpaid obligation.

Questioned Costs – Not applicable.

Context – Two of twenty-five disbursements tested were not in compliance.

Repeat Finding – This is a current year finding.

Cause – This was an oversight by city personnel.

Effect – Certain payments made to vendors were not paid within the timeframe as required by state statutes.

Recommendation – We recommend that the City review claims and disbursements payment procedures in place to ensure that all invoices are paid within statutory requirements.

View of Responsible Official and Planned Corrective Actions – There is no disagreement with the audit finding. The City has separately issued a Corrective Action Plan related to this finding.

